

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,743	•	01/11/2002	John W. Ladd	4584.2US (00-0787.2)	3846
24247	7590	10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
TRASK	BRITT		CHANG, RICK KILTAE		
	P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3729	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		A					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/044,743	LADD, JOHN W.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rick K. Chang	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 is/are withdrawn for	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-20</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The path or declaration is objected to by the Fx							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d					
233 the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive	u.					
·							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate, atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aton Application (F10*132)					

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of appeal brief filed on July 13, 2001, Examiner hereby withdraws the non-final and final rejections mailed on July 25, 2003 and January 14, 2004, respectively.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/035,738. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the combinations of Claims 1 and 5 and Claims 8 and 13 are generic to the species of invention covered by claims 1 of the copending application. The combination of Claims 1 and 5 contains the steps of "establishing an electrical contact between a first member of an electrical connector and a contact that is in electrical communication with the at least one semiconductor device, and magnetically drawing the first member toward the contact". The same is true for the combination of Claims 8 and 13. The generic inventions of Claims 1 and 5 and Claims 8 and 13 are "anticipated" by the species of the copending invention. *In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046,29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993)*.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,743 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

Furthermore, the copending application contains the terms "temporary electrical contact" which is not supported by the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Butherus et al (US 3,612,955).

Butherus discloses in Figs. 1B and 2 a semiconductor device 59 surrounded with ferromagnetic materials to provide attraction both vertically and laterally (col. 3, lines 2-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8-9 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butherus et al (US 3,612,955) in view of Official Notice.

Butherus teaches the invention as described with respect to the claims above. Fig. 2 shows providing substantially constant amount of current.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,743

Art Unit: 3729

Butherus fails to disclose providing ground and power to electronic components and heating either cyclically or variously.

Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to provide ground and power to electronic components to energize them and during burn-in testing heat is provide either cyclically or variously to purposely fail the component.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Butherus by providing ground and power to electronic components and heating either cyclically or variously, as taught by Official Notice, for the purpose of energizing the electronic components and failing the components.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 7/13/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the magnetic attraction of the leads to the terminals is sufficient to electrically connect the leads to the traces or terminals) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The system as shown in Fig. 2 is in electrical communication with a component and contacts and, during operation, they are electrically connected to send and receive power, ground and signal to the component.

Re claim 4, Fig. 1B shows 62 and 61 on the opposite of 69 and 70 and they are attracting to each other.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,743

Art Unit: 3729

Degani et al (US 6,370,766) discloses electrically testing during bun-in testing the plurality of electrical components by applying test signals to the width linear array of electrical contacts, which includes heating the components to an elevated temperature while applying an electrical bias to the components.

Conclusion

- 9. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/044,743

Art Unit: 3729

.....

Page 6

RC October 4, 2004